




JHU vision lab

# Algebraic, Sparse and Low Rank Subspace Clustering

René Vidal

Center for Imaging Science  
Johns Hopkins University




THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING

The Whitaker Institute at Johns Hopkins




# High-Dimensional Data

- In many areas, we deal with high-dimensional data
  - Computer vision
  - Medical imaging
  - Medical robotics
  - Signal processing
  - Bioinformatics



The Language of Surgery




Modeling the skills of human expert surgeons to train a new generation of students. [\( more \)](#)




# High-Dimensional Data in Computer Vision

## NUMBER OF PHOTOS TAKEN EACH YEAR



# High-Dimensional Data in Computer Vision

Daily Number of Photos Uploaded & Shared on Select Platforms, 2005 – 2014YTD





# High-Dimensional Data in Computer Vision



facebook

- 140 billion images
- 350 million new photos/day



- 3.8 trillion of photographs
- 10% in the past 12 months



You Tube

- 120 million videos
- 300 hours of video/minute




CISCO™


- 90% of the internet traffic will be video by the end of 2017

# Low-Dimensional Manifolds


- Face clustering and classification




- Lossy image representation



- Motion segmentation



- DT segmentation



- Video segmentation






# Two Fundamental Tasks

- Clustering of data in low-dimensional manifolds




- Classification of data in low-dimensional manifolds



# Talk Outline

- Introduction to Subspace Clustering
- Generalized Principal Component Analysis (GPCA)
  - Polynomial fitting and factorization
- Sparse Subspace Clustering (SSC)
  - Matrix of coefficients is sparse
- Low Rank Subspace Clustering (LRSC)
  - Matrix of coefficients is low-rank
- Applications:
  - Face clustering
  - Motion/video segmentation







JHU vision lab

# Introduction to Subspace Clustering

René Vidal




THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING

The Whitaker Institute at Johns Hopkins



# Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Given a set of points lying in one subspace, identify
  - Geometric PCA: find a subspace  $S$  passing through them
  - Statistical PCA: find projection directions that maximize the variance



- **Solution** (Beltrami'1873, Jordan'1874, Hotelling'33, Eckart-Householder-Young'36)


$$U\Sigma V^T = [\mathbf{x}_1 \quad \mathbf{x}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{x}_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$$

- Applications:
  - Signal/image processing, computer vision (eigenfaces), machine learning, genomics, neuroscience (multi-channel neural recordings)






# Subspace Clustering Problem

- Given a set of points lying in multiple subspaces, identify
  - The **number of subspaces** and their **dimensions**
  - A **basis** for each subspace
  - The **segmentation** of the data points
- Challenges
  - Model selection
  - Nonconvex
  - Combinatorial
- More challenges
  - Noise
  - Outliers
  - Missing entries




# Subspace Clustering Problem: Challenges

- Even more challenges
  - Angles between subspaces are small
  - Nearby points are in different subspaces



# Prior Work: Iterative-Probabilistic Methods

- Approach
  - Given segmentation, estimate subspaces
  - Given subspaces, segment the data
  - **Iterate** till convergence
- Representative methods
  - **K-subspaces** (Bradley-Mangasarian '00, Kambhatla-Leen '94, Tseng'00, Agarwal-Mustafa '04, Zhang et al. '09, Aldroubi et al. '09)
  - **Mixtures of PPCA** (Tipping-Bishop '99, Grubber-Weiss '04, Kanatani '04, Archambeau et al. '08, Chen '11)




Advantages	Disadvantages / Open Problems
Simple, intuitive	Known number of subspaces and dimensions
Missing data	Sensitive to initialization and outliers

# Prior Work: Algebraic-Geometric Methods

- Approach

- Number of subspaces = **degree of polynomial**
- Subspaces = **factors of polynomial**



- Representative methods

- **Factorization** (Boult-Brown'91, Costeira-Kanade'98, Gear'98, Kanatani et al.'01, Wu et al.'01, Sekmen'13)
- **GPCA** (Shizawa-Maze '91, Vidal et al. '03 '04 '05, Huang et al. '05, Yang et al. '05, Derksen '07, Ma et al. '08, Ozay et al. '10)


Advantages	Disadvantages / Open Problems
Closed form	Complexity
Arbitrary dimensions	Sensitive to noise, outliers, missing entries



# Prior Work: Spectral-Clustering Methods

- Approach

- Data points = graph nodes
- Pairwise similarity = edge weights
- Segmentation = graph cut



- Representative methods

- **Local** (Zelnik-Manor '03, Yan-Pollefeys '06, Fan-Wu '06, Goh-Vidal '07, Sekmen'12)
- **Global** (Govindu '05, Agarwal et al. '05, Chen-Lerman '08, Lauer-Schnorr '09, Zhang et al. '10)

Advantages	Disadvantages / Open Problems
Efficient	Known number of subspaces and dimensions
Robust	Global methods are complex


# Prior Work: Sparse and Low-Rank Methods

- Approach

- Data are **self-expressive**
- Global affinity by **convex optimization**

- Representative methods

- **Sparse Subspace Clustering (SSC)**  
(Elhamifar-Vidal '09 '10 '13, Candes '12 '13)
- **Low-Rank Subspace Clustering (LRSC)**  
(Liu et al. '10 '13, Favaro-Vidal '11 '13)
- **Sparse + Low-Rank** (Wang '13)



Advantages	Disadvantages / Open Problems
Efficient, Convex	Low-dimensional subspaces
Robust	Missing entries

# Prior Work on Subspace Clustering

[ René Vidal ]

## Subspace Clustering

[ Applications in motion  
segmentation and  
face clustering ]



Dimensionality Reduction  
Methods



JHU vision lab

# Generalized Principal Component Analysis (GPCA)

René Vidal, Yi Ma and Shankar Sastry



THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING

The Whitaker Institute at Johns Hopkins







# GPCA: Representing One Subspace

- One plane

$$\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} = b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 = 0$$



- One line



$$\mathbf{b}_1^T \mathbf{x} = b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 = 0$$

$$\mathbf{b}_2^T \mathbf{x} = b_4 x_1 + b_5 x_2 + b_6 x_3 = 0$$

- One subspace can be represented with


- Set of linear equations
- Set of polynomials of degree 1

$$S = \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{x} = 0 \}$$

# GPCA: Representing a Union of Subspaces

- One subspace


$$\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} = b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 = 0$$



- Two subspaces

$$(\mathbf{b}_1^T \mathbf{x} = 0) \text{ or } (\mathbf{b}_2^T \mathbf{x} = 0)$$

$$p_2(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{b}_1^T \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{b}_2^T \mathbf{x}) = 0$$




- A union of  $n$  subspaces can be represented with a set of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$

# GPCA: Representing $n$ Subspaces

- Two planes  $(b_1^T x = 0)$  **or**  $(b_2^T x = 0)$

$$p_2(x) = (b_1^T x)(b_2^T x) = 0$$



- One plane and one line

- Plane:  $S_1 = \{x : b^T x = 0\}$

- Line:  $S_2 = \{x : b_1^T x = b_2^T x = 0\}$

$$S_1 \cup S_2 = \{x : (b^T x = 0) \text{ or } (b_1^T x = b_2^T x = 0)\}$$

De Morgan's rule

$$S_1 \cup S_2 = \{x : (b^T x)(b_1^T x) = 0 \text{ and } (b^T x)(b_2^T x) = 0\}$$

- A union of  $n$  subspaces can be represented with a set of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$

# GPCA: Fitting Polynomials to Data Points

- Polynomials are linear in their coefficients

$$(\mathbf{b}_1^\top \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{b}_2^\top \mathbf{x}) = c_1 x_1^2 + c_2 x_1 x_2 + c_3 x_2^2 = \mathbf{c}^\top \nu_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

- Coefficients can be computed linearly from the nullspace of the embedded data matrix
  - Solve using least squares
  - $N = \#$ data points

$$L_n \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_n(\mathbf{x}_1)^\top \\ \vdots \\ \nu_n(\mathbf{x}_N)^\top \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$$

- Number of subspaces can be found from rank of embedded data matrix


$$n = \min\{i : L_i \text{ drops rank}\}$$



# GPCA Algorithm by Polynomial Factorization

- Basis for each subspace

$$\mathbf{c}^T \nu_n(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{b}_1^T \mathbf{x}) \cdots (\mathbf{b}_n^T \mathbf{x})$$



- Polynomial Factorization Algorithm
  - Find roots of polynomial of degree  $n$  in one variable
  - Solve  $D-2$  linear systems in  $n$  variables
- Problems
  - Computing roots may be sensitive to noise
  - The estimated polynomial may not perfectly factor with noisy data

# GPCA Algorithm Polynomial Differentiation

$$\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_n}$$

A tree diagram showing the decomposition of vector  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{M_n}$  into components  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ . The root node is  $\mathbf{c}$ , and it branches down to  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , and  $b_n$ , with an ellipsis between  $b_2$  and  $b_n$ .

$$b_i = Dp_n(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}_i} \quad \mathbf{y}_i \in S_i$$

$$p_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

$$b_1^T \mathbf{x} = 0$$

$$b_2^T \mathbf{x} = 0$$

$\mathbf{y}_2$

$$b_2 \sim Dp_n(\mathbf{y}_2)$$


$$b_1 \sim Dp_n(\mathbf{y}_1)$$

$\mathbf{y}_1$

- To learn a mixture of subspaces we just need one positive example per class

# GPCA Algorithm Polynomial Differentiation

- With noise and outliers
  - Polynomials may not be a perfect union of subspaces



- Normals can be estimated correctly by choosing points optimally
- Distance to closest subspace without knowing segmentation?

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\| = \sqrt{\frac{|p_n(\mathbf{x})|}{\|Dp_n(\mathbf{x})\|}} + O(\|\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|^2)$$

# GPCA: Algorithm for Hyperplane Clustering

- Coefficients of the polynomial can be computed from null space of embedded data matrix


- Solve using least squares
- $N = \#$ data points

$$L_n \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_n(\mathbf{x}_1)^T \\ \vdots \\ \nu_n(\mathbf{x}_N)^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} = 0$$

- Number of subspaces can be computed from the rank of embedded data matrix

$$n = \min\{i : \text{rank}(L_i) = M_i - 1\}$$

- Normal to the subspaces  $\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$  can be computed from the derivatives of the polynomial



$$\mathbf{b}_i = Dp_n(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}_i} \quad \mathbf{y}_i \in S_i$$



# Temporal Video Segmentation by GPCA

**The Society Raffles**

**©December 7, 1905**

**American Mutoscope  
& Biograph Company**

# Temporal Video Segmentation by GPCA

- Empty living room
- Middle-aged man enters
- Woman enters
- Young man enters, introduces the woman and leaves
- Middle-aged man flirts with woman and steals her tiara
- Middle-aged man checks the time, rises and leaves
- Woman walks him to the door
- Woman returns to her seat
- Woman misses her tiara
- Woman searches her tiara
- Woman sits and dismays





Fig. 5. Temporal segmentation of a scene from the movie *The society raffles*. The top row shows several key frames from the scene displaying different events. The bottom row shows the temporal evolution of the parameter  $\hat{c}_t$  as a function of time.



JHU vision lab

# Sparse Subspace Clustering (SSC)

Ehsan Elhamifar and René Vidal



THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING




The Whitaker Institute at Johns Hopkins



# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Spectral Clustering


- Spectral clustering

- Represent data points as nodes in graph  $G$
- Connect nodes  $i$  and  $j$  with weight  $c_{ij}$
- Infer clusters from Laplacian of  $G$




- How to define a good **affinity matrix**  $C$  for subspaces?

- points in the same subspace:  $c_{ij} \neq 0$
- points in different subspaces:  $c_{ij} = 0$




# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Spectral Clustering

- Spectral curvature clustering (SCC) (Chen-Lerman '08)
  - Define multiway similarity as normalized volume of  $d+1$  points



- Local subspace affinity (LSA) (Yan-Pollefeys '06)
  - Use the angles between locally fitted subspaces as similarity






# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Intuition

- Data in a union of subspaces are **self-expressive**

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N c_{ji} \mathbf{y}_j \implies \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i \implies Y = YC$$

- Union of subspaces admits **subspace-sparse representation**



- Under what conditions on the subspaces and the data


- L0 = subspace sparse?

- L1 = subspace sparse?  $P_1 : \min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i, \quad c_{ii} = 0$

# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Noiseless Data

- **Theorem 1:**  $P_1$  recovers a subspace-sparse representation if
  - Subspaces are independent:


$$\dim\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \dim(S_i)$$



$$P_1 : \min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i, \quad c_{ii} = 0$$

# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Noiseless Data

- **Theorem 2:**  $P_1$  recovers a **subspace-sparse representation** if
  - Subspaces are **disjoint**:  $S_i \cap S_j = \{0\}$
  - Subspaces are sufficiently **well separated** and data are sufficiently **well distributed**



$$\max_{\text{rank}(\bar{Y}_i)=d_i} \sigma_{d_i}(\bar{Y}_i) > \sqrt{d_i} \max_{j \neq i} \cos(\theta_{ij})$$

- $\theta_{ij}$  is the smallest **subspace angle** between subspaces  $i$  and  $j$ 
  - subspace angles decrease  $\longrightarrow$  harder recovery
- $\sigma_{d_i}(\bar{Y}_i)$  is the **smallest singular value** in each subspace
  - data closer to a degenerate subspace  $\longrightarrow$  harder recovery

$$P_1 : \min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i, \quad c_{ii} = 0$$

# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Noiseless Data

- **Theorem 3:**

- $n$   $d$ -dimensional subspaces chosen independently, uniformly at random
- $r d + 1$  points per subspace chosen independently, uniformly at random
- $P_1$  recovers a subspace-sparse representation with high probability if

$$d < \frac{c^2(r) \log \rho}{12 \log N} D$$

$$P_1 : \min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i, \quad c_{ii} = 0$$

# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Data with Outliers

- **Assumptions**

- $n$   $d$ -dimensional subspaces chosen independently, uniformly at random
- $r d + 1$  inliers per subspace chosen independently, uniformly at random
- $N_{outliers}$  outliers chosen independently and uniformly at random
- Declare point  $i$  as an outlier if the solution to  $P_1$  satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 > \lambda(\gamma)\sqrt{D}$$

- **Theorem 4:**

- $P_1$  correctly detects all outliers with high probability if

$$N_{outliers} < \frac{1}{D} e^{c\sqrt{D}} - N_{inliers}$$

- $P_1$  does not detect any inlier as an outlier if

$$P_1 : \min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i, \quad c_{ii} = 0$$



# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Corrupted Data

- When the data are **corrupted with noise**  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{e}$

$$\min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 + \mu \|\mathbf{y}_i - Y \mathbf{c}_i\|_2$$

- When the data have **missing entries**
  - Let  $I \subset \{1, \dots, D\}$  be the indices of the missing entries in  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^D$
  - Form  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{D-|I|}$  and  $\tilde{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{D-|I| \times N}$  by eliminating rows of  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $Y$  indexed by  $I$ , and solve the same optimization problems

- When the data are **corrupted with outlying entries**


– Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = Y \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e} = \begin{bmatrix} Y & I_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{bmatrix}$  be corrupted by a vector  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^D$

– The vector  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}^\top & \mathbf{e}^\top \end{bmatrix}^\top$  is still sparse and can be recovered from

$$\min \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_1 + \mu \left\| \tilde{\mathbf{y}} - \begin{bmatrix} Y & I_D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{e} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Algorithm

- Represent data points as nodes in graph  $G$





- Find the sparse coefficient vectors  $\{\mathbf{c}_i\}_{i=1}^N$

$$\min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 + \mu \|\mathbf{y}_i - Y \mathbf{c}_i\|_2$$

- Connect nodes  $i$  and  $j$  by an edge with weight

$$|c_{ij}| + |c_{ji}|$$

- Spectral clustering: apply K-means to the smallest eigenvectors of the Laplacian of  $G$






JHU vision lab

# Low Rank Subspace Clustering (LRSC)

Paolo Favaro and René Vidal



THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING




The Whitaker Institute at Johns Hopkins



# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Spectral Clustering


- Spectral clustering

- Represent data points as nodes in graph  $G$
- Connect nodes  $i$  and  $j$  with weight  $c_{ij}$
- Infer clusters from Laplacian of  $G$



- How to define a good **affinity matrix**  $C$  for subspaces?

- points in the same subspace:  $c_{ij} \neq 0$
- points in different subspaces:  $c_{ij} = 0$





# Sparse Subspace Clustering: Intuition

- Data in a union of subspaces are **self-expressive**

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N c_{ji} \mathbf{y}_j \implies \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i \implies Y = YC$$

- Union of subspaces admits **subspace-sparse representation**



- Sparse Subspace Clustering

$$P_1 : \min \|\mathbf{c}_i\|_1 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{y}_i = Y \mathbf{c}_i, \quad c_{ii} = 0$$



# Subspace Clustering by Matrix Factorization


- Data from i-th subspace can be factorized as  $Y_i = U_i V_i^\top$

$$Y\Gamma = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n] = [U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n] \begin{bmatrix} V_1^\top & & & & \\ & V_2^\top & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & V_n^\top \end{bmatrix}$$

- Segmentation of the data can be obtained from

- Leading singular vector of  $Y = U\Sigma V^\top$  (Boult and Brown '91)
- Shape interaction matrix  $C = VV^\top$  (Costeira & Kanade '95, Gear '94)

- $C_{ij} = 0$  if points  $i$  and  $j$  lie in two **independent subspaces** (Kanatani et al. '01, Vidal et al. '08)



# Low Rank Subspace Clustering

- Data in a union of subspaces are **self-expressive**

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N c_{ji} \mathbf{y}_j \implies \mathbf{y}_j = Y \mathbf{c}_i \implies Y = YC$$

- C is **sparse**
- C is **low-rank**

- Low Rank Subspace Clustering (noiseless case)

$$\min_C \|C\|_* \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Y = YC \implies \begin{aligned} Y &= U \Sigma V^T \\ C &= V V^T \end{aligned}$$


- Low Rank Subspace Clustering (noisy case)

$$\min_C \|C\|_* + \frac{\tau}{2} \|Y - YC\|_F^2 \implies C = V \left( I - \frac{1}{\tau} \Sigma^{-2} \right) V^T$$



JHU vision lab

# Applications in Computer Vision





THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING  
The Whitaker Institute at Johns Hopkins



# Experiments on 3D Motion Segmentation

- Motion segmentation problem
  - Input: multiple images of a scene with multiple rigid-body motions
  - Output: number of motions, motion model parameters, segmentation



- Motion of a rigid-body: 4D subspace (Boult and Brown '91, Tomasi and Kanade '92)

- $P = \# \text{points}$
- $F = \# \text{frames}$


$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{1P} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{F1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{FP} \end{bmatrix}}_{2F \times P} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_F \end{bmatrix}}_{2F \times 4} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{X}_P \end{bmatrix}}_{4 \times P}$$



# Experiments on 3D Motion Segmentation

- Misclassification rates on Hopkins 155 database



R. Tron and R. Vidal. A Benchmark for the Comparison of 3-D Motion Segmentation Algorithms. CVPR 2007.





# Experiments on Video Segmentation



- Model each video segment as a low-dimensional subspace
- Cluster video frames into multiple segments



- Advantages
  - SSC easily detects sharp transitions in the video
  - SSC can handle camera motion and scene variations


# Experiments on Video Segmentation

- Model each video segment as a low-dimensional subspace
- Cluster video frames into multiple segments




- Advantages
  - SSC easily detects sharp transitions in the video
  - SSC can handle camera motion and scene variations

# Experiments on Face Clustering



D = 2,016 dimensional data

- Faces under varying illumination
  - 9D subspace
- Extended Yale B dataset
  - 38 subjects
  - 64 images per subject
- Clustering error
  - SSC < 2.0% error for 2 subjects
  - SSC < 11.0% error for 10 subjects




# Conclusions

- Many problems in **computer vision** can be posed as **subspace clustering and classification problems**
  - Spatial and temporal video segmentation
  - Face clustering under varying illumination
  - Face classification
- These problems can be solved using
  - **Generalized Principal Component Analysis (GPCA)**
  - **Sparse Subspace Clustering (SSC)**
  - **Low Rank Subspace Clustering (LRSC)**
- This algorithms is **provably correct** when
  - Subspaces are sufficiently separated
  - Data are well distributed within each subspace

# What's Next




- **Big Data** (Peng '13, Dyer '13, You '15)

	GPCA	SSC	OMP	?
Dimension of the data	10	10,000	10,000	1M
Number of data points	1000	10,000	100,000	1M



Chong You


- **Missing Data:** (Grubber '04, Eriksson '12, Balzano '12, Pimentel '14, Candes '14, Yang'15)



*Matrix of corrupted observations*

*Underlying low-rank matrix*

*Sparse error matrix*



Congyuan  
Yang

# Acknowledgements


- Algebraic Methods

- Y. Ma, S. Sastry, M. Tsakiris



- Sparse and Low Rank

- E. Elhamifar, P. Favarò, C. You



- Funding

- Sloan Research Fellowship
- ONR Young Investigator Award
- NSF CAREER Award 0447739

- More information/code

- Vision Lab @ Johns Hopkins University <http://www.vision.jhu.edu>

# Thank You!